TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abbreviations	XIII
Introduction	
 The Interactions Between the Use of Force and the Law of State Responsibility Premises and Methodology Outline of the Work 	2 3 5
CHAPTER 1 THE INTERACTIONS BETWEEN THE LAW OF THE USE OF FORCE AND THE LAW OF STATE RESPONSIBILITY	
1. Introduction	9
2. The Traditional Model of Relationship Between the Rules of	
State Responsibility and Other Regimes of International Law	11
2.1. Operation of Primary and Secondary Rules	11
2.2. Operation of the Lex Specialis Principle	15
2.3. ARS' 'Without Prejudice' Clauses	16
2.3.1. 'Questions Not Regulated by These Articles'	17
2.3.2. ARS and the Charter of the United Nations	17
2.3.3. ARS and Peremptory Rules	18
2.3.4. Responsibility of Other Subjects	20
3. Use of Force and Influences in the Development and	2.1
Codification of the Law of State Responsibility	21
3.1. Regulation of Force and Development of the Law of State	22
Responsibility	22
3.1.1. 'Universalisation' of Primary Rules	22
3.1.2. Regulation of the Use of Force and Basic Notions of	2.4
Responsibility	24

VI TABLE OF CONTENTS

3.2. The Influence of the Use of Force on the Codification of the			
Law of State Responsibility	25		
3.2.1. Inductive and Deductive Methods	26		
3.2.2. Analogical Reasoning	28		
3.2.3. Codification of State Responsibility and Interests of			
States	30		
4. Use of Force and Deviations from the Law of State			
Responsibility	33		
4.1. Use of Force and Exceptionalism	34		
4.1.1. Use of Force as an Extra-Juridical Phenomenon	34		
4.1.2. Use of Force and Legal Exception			
4.2. Use of Force and Lex Specialis Principle	37		
4.2.1. Different Conceptions of the Lex Specialis Principle	38		
4.2.1.1. Lex Specialis Principle and Derogation	38		
4.2.1.2. Lex Specialis Principle and Harmonisation	39		
4.2.2. Law of the Use of Force as Lex Specialis	41		
4.2.2.1. Secondary Rules of the Law of the Use of Force as			
Lex Specialis	42		
4.2.2.2. Primary Rules of the Law of the Use of Force as <i>Lex</i>			
Specialis	43		
4.2.2.3. The Law of the Use of Force as a Self-Contained			
Regime	46		
CHAPTER 2			
CHAPTER 2			
USE OF FORCE AND THE CHARACTERISATION OF A BREACH OF A WRONGFUL ACT			
W RONGFUL ACT			
1. Introduction	49		
2. Aggression and Specific Intent3. Aggression and Responsibility for Complicity			
3.1. Assistance to Aggression and the Development of the Concept	59		
of Responsibility for Complicity	61		
3.2. Complicity in Aggression: A Special Secondary Rule?	66		
Concluding Remarks	71		

TABLE OF CONTENTS VII

CHAPTER 3 USE OF FORCE AND THE ATTRIBUTION OF CONDUCT OF THE WRONGFUL ACT

1. Introduction	73
2. Indirect Use of Force and Attribution	75
2.1. Indirect Use of Force by States and the Development of the	
General Rules of Attribution	76
2.1.1. Indirect Use of Force and <i>De Facto</i> Organs	76
2.1.2. Indirect Use of Force and the 'Conduct Directed or	
Controlled by a State'	79
2.2. Indirect Aggression: A Special Rule of Attribution?	82
2.2.1. The 'Speciality' of Indirect Aggression	82
2.2.2. Indirect Aggression and the Duty of Abstention	87
3. Attribution of Acts of Terrorism to States and Self-Defence	89
3.1. Traditional Rules of Attribution and Terrorism	91
3.2. Special Rules of Attribution and Terrorism	96
3.2.1. The 'Substantial Involvement' Requirement in the	
Context of Terrorism	97
3.2.2. The 'Harbouring' Test	97
3.2.3. The 'Unwilling or Unable' Doctrine	99
4. Use of Force and Responsibility in Connection with the	
Conduct of Private Actors	101
4.1. Duty of Abstention From Assisting Armed Groups and State	
Responsibility	103
4.1.1. Assistance to Armed Groups and Violation of the	
Prohibition on the Use of Force	104
4.1.2. 'Complicity' to Non-State Actors	107
4.2. Duty to Prevent the Use of Force by Non-State Actors	109
4.2.1. Duty of Prevention and Use of Force	112
4.2.2. Prevention of Terrorism and Use of Force	114
Concluding Remarks	115

VIII TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 4 USE OF FORCE AND CIRCUMSTANCES PRECLUDING WRONGFULNESS

1. Introduction	119
2. Self-Defence as a General Circumstance Precluding	
Wrongfulness	120
2.1. The Character of Self-Defence According to the Law of State	
Responsibility	121
2.1.1. Self-Defence as a Primary Rule	121
2.1.1.1. Self-Defence as an 'Inherent Right'	122
2.1.1.2. Self-Defence as a Faculty	124
2.1.2. Self-Defence as a Circumstance Precluding	
Wrongfulness	125
2.2. The Ratione Materiae Scope of Self-Defence	127
2.2.1. Preclusive Effects of Self-Defence and the Violation of	
the Prohibition on the Use of Force	128
2.2.2. Preclusive Effects of Self-Defence and 'Collateral'	
Violations of Obligations Owed to the Aggressor State	132
2.2.3. Limitations <i>Ratione Materiae</i> on the Preclusive Effects of	
Self Defence	135
2.3. The Ratione Personae Scope of Self-Defence	137
2.3.1. The Aggressor or Complicit State	137
2.3.2. Third States	137
3. 'Special' Circumstances Precluding Wrongfulness and the Use	
of Force	141
3.1. Intervention by Invitation	143
3.1.1. Consent, Use of Force and Peremptory Rules	144
3.1.2. The Criteria for the Validity of Consent to the Use of	
Force	147
3.2. Use of Force in the Fight Against Terrorism	150
3.2.1. Self-Defence and the Territorial State	152
3.2.2. Other Circumstances Precluding Wrongfulness	155
3.2.3. Responsibility of the Territorial State	156
3.3. Humanitarian Intervention	159
3.3.1. Humanitarian Intervention as a Primary Rule and the	
Concept of Responsibility to Protect	161
3.3.2. Humanitarian Intervention as a Hypothesis of Necessity	163
3.3.3. Humanitarian Intervention as a Countermeasure	166

3.4. Intervention to Rescue Nationals3.4.1. Protection of Nationals as a Primary Rule and Self-Help3.4.2. Protection of Nationals as Self-Defence3.4.3. Protection of Nationals as Necessity or Distress	168 169 170 173		
Concluding Remarks	174		
CHAPTER 5			
USE OF FORCE AND THE LEGAL CONSEQUENCES OF			
INTERNATIONALLY WRONGFUL ACTS			
1. Introduction	177		
2. War Reparations	179		
2.1. War Reparations as a Legal Obligation	180		
2.2. Full Reparation and Questions of Causality	187		
2.3. Amount of Reparation Due and <i>Post Bellum</i> Considerations	191		
3. Proposed Special Consequences of the Unlawful Use of Force	195		
3.1. Punitive Damages	197		
3.2. Arrest and Penal Consequences for State Organs			
3.2.1. Implementation of Criminal Responsibility as a Measure			
of Reparation or Sanction			
3.2.2. Individual Criminal Responsibility as an Autonomous	205		
Regime			
3.2.3. Criminal and State Responsibility as Complementary			
Regimes	207 208		
3.3. Guarantees of Non-Repetition			
3.3.1. Restrictions on the Armed Forces and Arsenal of the	200		
Aggressor State	208		
3.3.2. Demilitarisation of Territory	211		
3.3.3. Forcible Regime Change	212 214		
3.3.4. Fact-finding Missions	214		
3.4. Measures Making Use of Armed Force3.4.1. Blockades and No-Fly Zones	216		
3.4.2. Occupation of Territory and International Territorial	210		
Administrations	218		
3.4.3. Annexation of Territory and Territorial Changes	219		
2.1.2.1 Innexaction of Territory and Territorial Orlanges	41/		

X TABLE OF CONTENTS

4. Aggression and the Development of the Aggravated Regime of			
Responsibility	221		
4.1. Aggression, International Crimes of States and Aggravated			
Responsibility	223		
4.1.1. Aggression and the Genesis of the Concept of			
International Crimes of States	223		
4.1.2. International Crimes of States in the ILC (1976-2001)	227		
4.1.3. The Aggravated Regime in the ARS (2001)	229		
4.2. Legal Consequences of Aggression and the Obligation of Non-			
Recognition	230		
4.2.1. Non-Recognition and Ex Injuria Jus Non Oritur			
Principle	231		
4.2.2. Non-Recognition as a Sanction and as a Legal			
Consequence of Aggression	233		
4.2.3. Non-Recognition as an Autonomous and General			
Consequence of the Aggravated Regime	236		
4.3. Collective Security System and the Obligations of Cooperation			
and Non-Assistance	240		
4.3.1. Obligation of Cooperation	241		
4.3.2. Obligation of Non-Assistance	245		
4.3.3. The Role of the Collective Security System in the			
Aggravated Regime	247		
Concluding Remarks	248		
Concinuing I Churks	210		
CHAPTER 6			
USE OF FORCE AND THE IMPLEMENTATION OF STATE RESPONSIBILI	TY		
1. Introduction	251		
2. Aggression and Invocation of Responsibility by a 'State Other			
Than an Injured State'	253		
3. Unilateral Use of Force and Implementation of Responsibility	258		
3.1. Use of Force and Decentralised Enforcement Measures			
3.2. Use of Force and the Requirements of Necessity and	258		
Proportionality for Countermeasures	262		
3.3. Unilateral Measures Involving the Use of Force	266		
4. Self-Defence and the Implementation of State Responsibility	270		
our Deteries and the implementation of other responsibility	210		

Table of Contents		XI

5. Collective Self-Defence and the Implementation of Aggravated			
State Responsibility			
6. Collective Security and Implementation of State Responsibility	283		
6.1. The General Clause of Compatibility of ARS Article 59	283		
6.2. Collective Security System: A Special Mechanism of			
Implementation of State Responsibility?	286		
6.3. Collective Security System Exclusively as a Peace Enforcement			
Mechanism	289		
6.4. The Impact of the Collective Security System on the			
Implementation of State Responsibility	291		
6.4.1. The Positive Impact of the Collective Security System on			
the Implementation of State Responsibility	292		
6.4.2. The Negative Impact of the Collective Security System on			
the Implementation of State Responsibility	298		
Concluding Remarks	303		
CONCLUSIONS			
1. Introduction	307		
2. Mutual Influence and Positive Impact	307		
3. Tensions and Deviations	309		
4. Interactions Between Values of Peace and Justice	310		
5. Future Pathways	311		
BIBLIOGRAPHY	313		
DIDLIOGIVII III	717		