

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	XV
INTRODUCTION.....	1
1. Conceptual framework and methodology.....	3
2. Plan of the analysis.....	7

Part One

Prosecutorial Discretion in International Criminal Law

CHAPTER I

THE THEORETICAL AND PRACTICAL FOUNDATIONS OF PROSECUTORIAL DISCRETION IN INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL LAW

1. On the concept of prosecutorial discretion in general and its implications.....	11
2. Selectivity as an ontological feature of international criminal law	22
3. The incorrect analogies with national prosecutorial regimes and the rationale for prosecutorial discretion in ICL.....	27
3.1. The inevitably limited scope of international prosecutions: Jurisdictional limitations and large-scale character of international crimes	28
3.2. Selecting upwards: Dealing with those who bear the greatest responsibility and the role of gravity	30
3.3. Policy considerations and the “interests of justice”	34
3.4. Limited resources and other practical constraints	38

CHAPTER II

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF PROSECUTORIAL MODELS ACROSS
INTERNATIONAL (ISED) CRIMINAL JUSTICE MECHANISMS

1. Introduction	41
2. The IMT and IMTFE: The lack of independence and the Prosecutor as <i>longa manus</i> of states	42
3. The <i>ad hoc</i> Tribunals: From broad discretion to increased judicial interventionism	46
4. The hybrid (or internationalised) Tribunals: Heterogeneity and the influence of national models	51
5. The ICC: Tempered discretion or tempered legality?	59

Part Two**The Static Dimension of Prosecutorial Discretion at the ICC and the Institutional Interplay Between the Office of the Prosecutor and Chambers**

CHAPTER III

THE LEGAL BASIS FOR PROSECUTORIAL DISCRETION AT THE ICC

1. Introduction	65
2. The independent Prosecutor in the negotiating history of the Statute.....	66
3. The legal basis for discretion in the Statute	68
3.1. Indications of principle in the Preamble	70
3.2. Article 15 and the Prosecutor's <i>proprio motu</i> powers.....	74
3.2.1. The "reasonable basis to proceed" test and the PTC's authorisation.....	82
3.3. Preliminary rulings on admissibility and challenges to jurisdiction and admissibility	88
3.4. Article 53 of the Statute and the OTP's decisions on investigation and prosecution	93
3.4.1. The reasonable/sufficient basis to proceed/believe tests, jurisdictional parameters and the admissibility assessment	95
3.4.2. The interests of justice as the true (and only) general clause for prosecutorial discretion at the ICC?.....	99
4. The influence of procedural rules on the exercise of discretion	102

5. An overall evaluation of the statutory and regulatory framework: Intermediate conclusions.....	106
--	-----

CHAPTER IV

BEYOND THE TEXT AND TOWARDS (SELF-REGULATORY) PRACTICE: THE ROLE OF THE OTP

1. Introduction	109
2. Prosecutorial Strategies and Plans.....	111
3. Policy Papers	115
4. Codes of Conduct and Guidelines: Relevant to the exercise of prosecutorial discretion?	122
5. The “Basic Size” of the OTP and the relationship between resources and prosecutorial discretion	124
6. An overall evaluation of the OTP’s documents	125

CHAPTER V

LIMITS OF AND REMEDIES AGAINST THE OTP’S DISCRETIONARY DECISIONS: THE ROLE OF JUDGES (IN PARTICULAR OF PRELIMINARY JUDGES)

1. Introduction	129
2. The role of the Pre-Trial Chamber at the pre-investigation and investigation phases	130
2.1. Pre-trial management measures and the power to request additional information.....	132
2.2. Preliminary rulings on jurisdiction: Advisory powers? ...	135
2.3. Authorisation powers	136
2.4. Judicial review of negative decisions: Persuasive and corrective powers.....	139
2.5. Confirmative powers	144
2.6. Protective powers relating to evidence and persons participating in the proceedings	147
3. Preliminary conclusions	149

Part Three

The Dynamic Dimension of Prosecutorial Discretion and of its Judicial Supervision: A Practice-based Analysis

CHAPTER VI
CONCEPTUAL TOOLS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF PROSECUTORIAL AND
JUDICIAL PRACTICE AT THE PRE-TRIAL STAGE OF THE ICC PROCEDURE

1. Introduction	151
2. The concept of prosecutorial practice in the context of the present study	152
3. The principle of proportionality between the degree of discretion and the degree of judicial oversight	155
4. Open clash v. smooth relationship: The explicative power of oppositions	157
5. The dissociation of formants hypothesis: Attempting to measure the discrepancy between the law in the books and the law in action	159

CHAPTER VII
THE OTP'S DISCRETIONARY PRACTICE AND ITS JUDICIAL REVIEW

1. Introduction	163
2. Prosecutorial (and judicial) practice in preliminary examinations	164
2.1. The proceduralisation of preliminary examinations	165
2.2. Opening (or not opening) a preliminary examination	170
2.3. The length and 'tempo' of preliminary examinations	179
2.4. The reporting activity of the OTP and its public statements	188
3. The conclusion of preliminary examinations: The OTP's decision on the opening or not opening of an investigation	191
3.1. Decisions not to proceed with an investigation pursuant to article 53(1) of the Statute	192
3.1.1. Lack of the preconditions for the exercise of jurisdiction	192
3.1.2. Failure to meet the jurisdictional criteria	195
3.1.3. Failure to meet the admissibility test, in particular as to sufficient gravity	197
3.1.4. Closing a preliminary examination based on complementarity: Recent trends	202
3.2. Decisions to open an investigation of a situation pursuant to article 53(1) of the Statute	206

3.2.1. Investigations opened in case of state and UNSC referrals	207
3.2.2. Prosecutorial and judicial practice in case of <i>proprio motu</i>	211
3.3. Prosecutorial discretion in deferral and resumption of investigations proceedings under article 18: Good faith complementarity or loss of time?	224
3.4. Prioritisation and de-prioritisation decisions	227
4. Other aspects of prosecutorial discretion beyond the preliminary phase and the OTP-PTC institutional relationship	231

Part Four

A Critical Assessment of Prosecutorial Practice and its Judicial Review: Comparing the Law in the Books and the Law in Action

CHAPTER VIII

IS THERE A SIGNIFICANT DISSOCIATION BETWEEN THE STATUTORY MODEL OF PROSECUTORIAL DISCRETION AND JUDICIAL REVIEW AND THEIR PRACTICAL IMPLEMENTATION?

1. Introduction	235
2. A quantitative and qualitative analysis through the open clash v. smooth relationship dichotomy	236
3. Assessment of the consistency in the course of action of the OTP and judges	246
4. Assessment of the dissociation of formants	250

CHAPTER IX

THE POSSIBLE EXPLANATIONS AND THE POTENTIAL ADVERSE CONSEQUENCES OF THE DISSOCIATION OF FORMANTS AND OF THE OTP-JUDGES DISAGREEMENTS

1. Possible explanations for the dissociation of formants and the OTP-Judges disagreements	257
1.1. The (inevitable) inadequacy of the statutory and regulatory framework	258
1.2. The inadequacy of prosecutorial policies, strategies and guidelines	260

1.3.	The Prosecutor’s constituencies and the self-perception of the Office’s institutional role.....	261
1.4.	Judges’ role and the lack of an overarching judicial strategy as regards the exercise of supervision.....	263
2.	The potentially detrimental consequences of the <i>status quo</i> ...	265
2.1.	Lack of cooperation between OTP and judges in case of interpretive disagreement.....	266
2.2.	The oscillation between excessive judicial deference and excessive interventionism and its consequences	267
2.3.	Inefficiency in the use of the Court’s resources	269

CHAPTER X

REDUCING THE GAP BETWEEN THE LAW IN THE BOOKS AND THE LAW IN ACTION AND MINIMISING THE CONSEQUENCES OF SYSTEMIC INTERPRETIVE DISAGREEMENTS

1.	Introduction	273
2.	The OTP’s role and the necessity to enhance the consistency of prosecutorial choices	274
3.	The judges’ contribution to the coherence of the system.....	281
4.	Proposals for the amendment of regulatory texts in light of the debate on the reforms of the ICC	289
CONCLUDING REMARKS.....		299
TABLE OF CASES		307
BIBLIOGRAPHY.....		319